

Erratum

MAGIC observations of the February 2014 flare of 1ES 1011+496 and ensuing constraint of the EBL density

M. L. Ahnen¹, S. Ansoldi², L. A. Antonelli³, P. Antoranz⁴, A. Babic⁵, B. Banerjee⁶, P. Bangale^{7,*}, U. Barres de Almeida^{7,26}, J. A. Barrio⁸, J. Becerra González^{9,27}, W. Bednarek¹⁰, E. Bernardini^{11,28}, B. Biasuzzi², A. Biland¹, O. Blanch¹², S. Bonnefoy⁸, G. Bonnoli³, F. Borracci⁷, T. Bretz^{13,29}, E. Carmona¹⁴, A. Carosi³, A. Chatterjee⁶, R. Clavero⁹, P. Colin⁷, E. Colombo⁹, J. L. Contreras⁸, J. Cortina¹², S. Covino³, P. Da Vela⁴, F. Dazzi⁷, A. De Angelis¹⁵, B. De Lotto², E. de Oña Wilhelmi¹⁶, C. Delgado Mendez¹⁴, F. Di Pierro³, D. Dominis Prester⁵, D. Dorner¹³, M. Doro^{7,30}, S. Einecke¹⁷, D. Eisenacher Glawion¹³, D. Elsaesser¹³, A. Fernández-Barral¹², D. Fidalgo⁸, M. V. Fonseca⁸, L. Font¹⁸, K. Frantzen¹⁷, C. Fruck⁷, D. Galindo¹⁹, R. J. García López⁹, M. Garczarczyk¹¹, D. Garrido Terrats¹⁸, M. Gaug¹⁸, P. Giannmaria³, N. Godinović⁵, A. González Muñoz^{12,35,*}, D. Guberman¹², A. Hahn⁷, Y. Hanabata²⁰, M. Hayashida²⁰, J. Herrera⁹, J. Hose⁷, D. Hrupec⁵, G. Hughes¹, W. Idec¹⁰, K. Kodani²⁰, Y. Konno²⁰, H. Kubo²⁰, J. Kushida²⁰, A. La Barbera³, D. Lelas⁵, E. Lindfors²¹, S. Lombardi³, F. Longo², M. López⁸, R. López-Coto¹², A. López-Oramas^{12,31}, E. Lorenz⁷, P. Majumdar⁶, M. Makariev²², K. Mallot¹¹, G. Maneva²², M. Manganaro⁹, K. Mannheim¹³, L. Maraschi³, B. Marcote¹⁹, M. Mariotti¹⁵, M. Martínez¹², D. Mazin⁷, U. Menzel⁷, J. M. Miranda⁴, R. Mirzoyan⁷, A. Moralejo^{12,*}, E. Moretti⁷, D. Nakajima²⁰, V. Neustroev²¹, A. Niedzwiecki¹⁰, M. Nievas Rosillo⁸, K. Nilsson^{21,32}, K. Nishijima²⁰, K. Noda⁷, R. Orito²⁰, A. Overkemping¹⁷, S. Paiano¹⁵, J. Palacio¹², M. Palatiello², D. Paneque⁷, R. Paoletti⁴, J. M. Paredes¹⁹, X. Paredes-Fortuny¹⁹, M. Persic^{2,33}, J. Poutanen²¹, P. G. Prada Moroni²³, E. Prandini^{1,34}, I. Puljak⁵, W. Rhode¹⁷, M. Ribó¹⁹, J. Rico¹², J. Rodriguez Garcia⁷, T. Saito²⁰, K. Satalecka⁸, C. Schultz¹⁵, T. Schweizer⁷, S. N. Shore²³, A. Sillanpää²¹, J. Sitarek¹⁰, I. Snidaric⁵, D. Sobczynska¹⁰, A. Stamerra³, T. Steinbring¹³, M. Strzys⁷, L. Takalo²¹, H. Takami²⁰, F. Tavecchio³, P. Temnikov²², T. Terzić⁵, D. Tescaro⁹, M. Teshima^{7,20}, J. Thaele¹⁷, D. F. Torres²⁴, T. Toyama⁷, A. Treves²⁵, V. Verguilov²², I. Vovk⁷, J. E. Ward¹², M. Will⁹, M. H. Wu¹⁶, and R. Zanin¹⁹

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received ; accepted

ABSTRACT

We found a mistake in the parameters for the fits of two functions to the observed spectrum of 1ES 1011+496 given in Section 3 of Ahnen et al. (A&A, 590, 24A, 2016). We found that this error does not affect the main result of the original paper which is the computations of the constraints to the extragalactic background light density.

Key words. gamma rays – cosmic background radiation – BL Lacertae objects – errata, addenda

We found an error in the parameters reported in Section 3 of the original paper (?) for the fits of different functions to the observed spectrum of 1ES 1011+496 measured by the MAGIC telescopes.

In Section 3, third paragraph.

“photon index $\Gamma = 2.0 \pm 0.1$ and normalization factor at 250 GeV $f_0 = (5.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ ”
 should be replaced by

“photon index $\Gamma = 2.03 \pm 0.03$ and normalization factor at 250 GeV $f_0 = (8.70 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ ”

A few lines below in the same paragraph.

“achieves an acceptable fit ($P = 0.17$, $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 12.8/9$), although with a sharp change of photon index by $\Delta\Gamma = 1.35$ ”

within less than a factor 2 in energy. For the SBPWL, the normalization factor at $E_0 = 250$ GeV is $f_0 = (4.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$, the first index is $\Gamma_1 = 0.35 \pm 0.01$, the second index $\Gamma_2 = 1.7 \pm 0.1$, and the energy break $E_b = 298 \pm 21$ GeV. Among the other, smoother functions, the next-best fit is provided by the LP (shown in Fig. 2), with $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 29.8/11$). The photon index for the LP is $\Gamma = 2.8 \pm 0.1$, curvature index $\beta = 1.0 \pm 0.1$ and normalization factor at $E_0 = 250$ GeV $f_0 = (3.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ ”

should be replaced by

“with $g = 12.6$, achieves an acceptable fit ($P = 0.23$, $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 12.8/9$), though with a sharp change of photon index by $\Delta\Gamma = 1.34$ within less than a factor 2 in energy. For the SBPWL, the normalization factor at $E_0 = 250$ GeV is $f_0 = (6.23 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$, the first index is

$\Gamma_1 = 2.35 \pm 0.08$, the second index $\Gamma_2 = 3.69 \pm 0.09$, and the energy break $E_b = 298 \pm 21$ GeV. Among the other, smoother functions, the next-best fit is provided by the LP (shown in Fig. 2), with $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ ($\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 29.8/11$). The photon index for the LP is $\Gamma = 2.84 \pm 0.03$, curvature index $\beta = 1.00 \pm 0.05$ and normalization factor at $E_0 = 250$ GeV $f_0 = (5.75 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$ $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{TeV}^{-1}$

After a thorough review of the original paper we have concluded that this change on fit parameters does not affect the results presented in Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7, neither affects what is shown in any the Figures.

References

Ahnen, M. L., Ansoldi, S., Antonelli, L. A., & et al. 2016, A&A, 590, A24

-
- ¹ ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland
 - ² Università di Udine, and INFN Trieste, I-33100 Udine, Italy
 - ³ INAF National Institute for Astrophysics, I-00136 Rome, Italy
 - ⁴ Università di Siena, and INFN Pisa, I-53100 Siena, Italy
 - ⁵ Croatian MAGIC Consortium, Rudjer Boskovic Institute, University of Rijeka, University of Split and University of Zagreb, Croatia
 - ⁶ Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1\AF Bidhannagar, Salt Lake, Sector-1, Kolkata 700064, India
 - ⁷ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany
 - ⁸ Universidad Complutense, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
 - ⁹ Inst. de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain; Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
 - ¹⁰ University of Łódź, PL-90236 Łódź, Poland
 - ¹¹ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany
 - ¹² IFAE, Campus UAB, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain
 - ¹³ Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany
 - ¹⁴ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
 - ¹⁵ Università di Padova and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy
 - ¹⁶ Institute for Space Sciences (CSIC\IEEC), E-08193 Barcelona, Spain
 - ¹⁷ Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
 - ¹⁸ Unitat de Física de les Radiacions, Departament de Física, and CERES-IEEC, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain
 - ¹⁹ Universitat de Barcelona, ICC, IEEC-UB, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain
 - ²⁰ Japanese MAGIC Consortium, ICRR, The University of Tokyo, Department of Physics and Hakubi Center, Kyoto University, Tokai University, The University of Tokushima, KEK, Japan
 - ²¹ Finnish MAGIC Consortium, Tuorla Observatory, University of Turku and Department of Physics, University of Oulu, Finland
 - ²² Inst. for Nucl. Research and Nucl. Energy, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria
 - ²³ Università di Pisa, and INFN Pisa, I-56126 Pisa, Italy
 - ²⁴ ICREA and Institute for Space Sciences (CSIC\IEEC), E-08193 Barcelona, Spain
 - ²⁵ Università dell'Insubria and INFN Milano Bicocca, Como, I-22100 Como, Italy
 - ²⁶ now at Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF\MCTI), R. Dr. Xavier Sigaud, 150 - Urca, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, 22290-180, Brazil
 - ²⁷ now at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA and Department of Physics and Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
 - ²⁸ Humboldt University of Berlin, Institut für Physik Newtonstr. 15, 12489 Berlin Germany
 - ²⁹ now at Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
 - ³⁰ also at INFN, Padova, Italy
 - ³¹ now at Laboratoire AIM, Service d'Astrophysique, DSM\IRFU, CEA\Saclay FR-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
 - ³² now at Finnish Centre for Astronomy with ESO (FINCA), Turku, Finland
 - ³³ also at INAF-Trieste
 - ³⁴ also at ISDC - Science Data Center for Astrophysics, 1290, Versoix (Geneva)
 - ³⁵ now at Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20-364, México D. F. 01000, México
 - ³⁶* Corresponding authors: A. González Muñoz (adiv.gonzalez@fisica.unam.mx), A. Moralejo (moralejo@ifae.es) and P. Bangale (priya@mpp.mpg.de)